TUBAL FACTOR IN STERILITY—EVALUATION BY LAPAROSCOPY

by Gargi A. Deshmukh I. V. Vijaykar A. B. Singhal and S. P. Tilwani

SUMMARY

The present study lists the tubal involvement as detected by laparoscopic examination in patients of primary and secondary infertility. Out of 431 cases of sterility investigated by laparoscopy, tubal involvement was detected in 76 (17.63%) cases. Ten (13.1%) cases showed unilateral block, while 48 (63%) cases showed tubal pathology and unsuspected pelvic pathology was detected in 54 (70.9%) cases. Pelvic inflammatory disease appears to be the largest single factor causing tubal involvement in infertility. It is emphasized that tubal factor cannot be completely studied by laparoscopy alone, but in occasional cases requires other investigations like hysterosalpingography and cervical smear culture.

Introduction

The fallopian tubes are mainly affected by pelvic infection. The common bacterial causes of salpingitis are the gonococus, the pyogenic organisms basically the gram negative bacilli and gram positive cocci and others among which the bacterioids and other anerobic species have been cultured from tuboovarian inflammatory disease. This is frequently associated with postpartum or postabortal sepsis, it may also follow surgical procedures, such as cauterisation of the cervix or uterine curettage, result-

From: Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Cama & Albless Hospital, Bombay. Accepted for publication on 23-9-85. ing in tubal damage either extrinsic or intrinsic, making conception either difficult or impossible. The tubercle bacillus, a common problem in adolescent and in young infertile women, is a frequent cause of salpingitis.

In recent literature, reports by Moore et al Paavonen (1980) have linked chlamydia trachomatis infection with infertility and reproductive problems.

Material and Method

The present study lists the tubal involvement as detected by laparoscopic examination in patients of primary and secondary infertility over a period of 5 years, from January 1980 to April 1985,

TUBAL FACTOR IN STERILITY-EVALUATION BY LAPAROSCOPY

in one of the units of Cama and Albless Hospital, Bombay.

Results

Four hundred thirty-one cases of sterility were investigated by laparoscopy. Out of these tubal factor was found to be present in 76 cases giving an incidence of 17.63% of tubal involvement.

Table I shows that maximum number of cases were in the age group of 20-24 years, and in all the age groups there were more cases of primary sterility as compared to secondary sterility given a ratio of 2.45: 1. About 48 (63.2%) of cases showed obvious tubal pathology (Table IV).

TABLE IV Block with Other Pathology

block wan Omer 1	unousg	
Ja and polymers in	No.	%
Hydrosalpinx	17	22.4
Convoluted, beaded tubes	16	21 ,
Tubo-Ovarian Masses	15	19.8

Unsuspected pelvic pathology was detected in 54 (70.9%) of the cases (Table V).

TABLE I

Age and Type of Sterility							
Age in years	i terret a	20-24			25-29		30
Primary sterlity	25	32.9%	-112	20	26.3%	8	10.5%
Secondary sterility	8	10.5%		10	13.1%	5	6.5%

Table II shows unilateral patency in 10 (13.1%) and in 11 (14.4%) the tubes were patent, but involved in adhesions.

TABLE II Tubal Involvement		
- ing la ga	No.	%
Unilateral Block Bilateral Block Tubes Patent (Perisalpingitis)	10 55 11	13.16 72.36 14.48

The site of the block could only be determined in 28 (36.8%) of cases (Table III).

	ABLE III te of Block	Patrices I
	No.	%
Cornual	20	26.3
Mid-portion	5	6.6
Terminal	3	3.9

TABLE V Associated Pelvic Pathology			
10 10 10 10 10	No.	%	
Endometriosis	3	3.9	
Tuberculosis	3	3.9	
Hypoplastic Uterus	2	2.6	
Adhesions	46	60.5	

Discussion

Before the advent of laparoscopy, investigation of tubal factor meant a Rubin's patency test and a hysterosalpingography. With a laparoscope, one can now visualise the whole pelvis, the complete tube with its fimbrial end and in a large number of cases, the exact site of the block and also whether one or both tubes are involved. As can be seen from Table II, 55 (72.3%) of the patients had bilateral block, but 10 (13.1%) of the

patients had unilateral block. It is probable that unilateral patency was produced either by the dye breaking thin intramural adhesions or pushing out a mucus plug or possibly a functional block, following ciliary damage. Mackey et al (1971) had a conception rate of 50% with unilateral patency. However, Wahby et al (1966) report only 23% pregnancy rate with unilateral patency. In 11 (14.4%) of cases, although the tubes were involved in adhesions, the dye was seen in the pouch of Dougles, sterility may have been due to kinking of the tube, interfering with ovum pick up and transport.

The cases were also viewed with respect to future tubal surgery. Seen from Table III, 20 (26.3%) cases showed cornual block which has relatively poor surgical prognosis, 3 (3.9%) had terminal block which carry the best surgical prognosis with operative treatment. About 48 (63%) of the cases showed obvious tubal pathology like hydrosalpinx, beaded tubes or tubo-ovarian masses (Table IV). Large number of these findings were missed on clinical examination, which would have gone undetected but for laparoscopy. It was possible to pick up cases not only for tuboplasty, but also for other surgical treatment for relief of symptoms, where medical therapy alone was not sufficient.

Table V shows pelvic pathology detected in these sterility cases. Endometriosis was seen in 3 (3.9%) of the cases. Endometriosis said to be uncommon in India. However, now more cases are being detected mainly due to advent of laparoscopy. In a similar study Rajan *et al* detected 12 cases of endometriosis amongst 81 infertile women on laparoscopic examination. 3 (3.9%) of this series showed pelvic tuberculosis, Rajan *et al* (1984) in their study discovered only 1 case of genital tuberculosis amongst 81 sterility cases examined. Infertility may be the only symptom of genital tuberculosis, occasionally discovered at laparoscopy or after a curettage but otherwise the diagnosis of genital tuberculosis remains notoriously elusive. Incidence of genital tuberculosis in an Endocrine Clinic—reported by Sathe *et al* (1979) was 3.32%, while Anjaneyulu (1959) quotes figures in women attending gynaecology OPD to be 0.76%. Schafer (19.76) quoting world figures gives selective incidence of genital tuberculosis as follows:

(1) In the tube removed

surgically	2%-20%
(2) In sterile patients	5%-10%

In our lower socio-economic class of patients, tubercular and gonococcal infections seen commonly in the younger age group play an important role in infertility. Gonococci account for a certain number of cases of salpingitis. Two thirds of our cases had pelvic adhesions with tubal involvement. Gonococcal infection can only be diagnosed by smear and culture, which are not done routinely in most hospitals. Deshmukh et al (1985) report the incidence of gonorrhoea in leucorrhoea cases in gynaecolgical O.P.D. to be 0.7%. National survey in the U.S.A. have indicated that prevalence of gonorrhoea varies from 1-2% in women seeking care from private practitioners to approximately 5% in those seeking health care at hospital based gynaecological clinics.

Pelvic inflammatory disease is therefore, the largest single factor causing tubal involvement in infertility.

Laparoscopy now appears indispensible in evaluating tubal factor in infertility. Apart from unexpected pelvic pathology which may be detected, laparoscopic examination has other advantages, where one can help the patient, by lysis of minor adhesions, fulguration of endometrial implants, and biopsy of suspected lesions like tuberculosis.

It is however, necessary to emphasize that tubal factor cannot be completely studied by laparoscopic examination alone, but also requires biopsy of the pelvic tissues through the laparoscope whenever necessary. In occasional cases hysterosalpingography to - study the nature of the tubal lumen and cervical smear and culture to rule out gonoccocal and chlamydial infections.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Korde, Superintendent, Cama and Albless Hospital and Dr. Chandrikapure, Dean J.J. group of hospitals, for allowing us the use of the hospital records.

References

 Anjaneyulu, R.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India, 10: 43, 1959.

- Deshmukh, M. A., Mehta, A. P., Dabholkar, K. M., Bojari, M. R. and Talwalkar, J. M.: J. Post. gr. Medicine, 31: 39, 1985.
 Mackey, R. A., Glass, R. H., Olson, L.
- and Vidya, R. A.: Fertil. Steril., 22: 504, 1971.
 Moore, D. E., Spadoni, L. R., Foy, H. M.,
- Moore, D. E., Spadoni, L. R., Foy, H. M., Wang Sanpin, Daling, J. R., Kuo, C. C., Grayston, J. T. and Eschenbach, D. A.: Lancet. 2: 574, 1982.
- Paavonen, J.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec., 138: 957, 1980.
- Rajan, R. Girija, Leela, V. S., Apitha Kumar S., Sreedevi, N. S., Ajitha Kumari K., Molykutty, T. and Prabhakumari, C.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India, 34: 881, 1984.
- Sathe, A. V., Vaidya, P. R., Deshmukh, M. A. and Motashaw, N. D.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India, 29: 198, 1979.
- Schaefer, G.: Clin. Obstet. Gynec. 19: 223, 1976.
- U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, Public Health Service S.T.D. Fact Sheet Ed. 35-Basic Statistics on the sexually transmitted disease problems in the United States H.H.S. Publication No. CDC/81/8195, 1981.
- Wahby, O., Sobrero, A. J. and Epstein, J. A.: Fertil. Steril. 17: 520, 1966.

of photos and and and an area sollion of